Tree Traversal Scheduling: A Global Scheduling Technique for VLIW/EPIC Processors

Huiyang Zhou Matt Jennings (BOPS Inc.) Tom Conte



TINKER Research Group Department of Electrical & Computer Engineering North Carolina State University

Presentation Outline

- Introduction
- Tree Traversal Scheduling (TTS) Algorithm
- Efficient Data Flow Analysis in TTS
- Simulation Methodology
- Results
- Conclusions

Introduction

- Global Scheduling
 - Arrange the order of the instructions to minimize the execution time and maintain the program semantics.
 - Schedule instructions beyond the basic block scope.
 - Containing two phases in Treegion framework:
 - Treegion formation & treegion scheduling
- Treegion
 - A single-entry / multiple-exit nonlinear region with CFG forming a tree (i.e., no merge points and back-edges in a treegion)
 - Basic scheduling unit in tree traversal scheduling (TTS)

Introduction

- Treegion Formation
 - Treegion is formed only based on the program CFG.



Introduction

Treegion enlarge optimization: tail duplication at merge points



 Treegion formation algorithm [W. A. Havanki, et.al. HPCA-4]

Introduction

- Treegion Attributes
 - Only depending on the topology of the program's CFG, which makes it suitable for dynamic optimization
 - Containing multiple execution paths
 - Potential to speedup multiple paths
 - Large scheduling scope for ILP extraction
 - High resource utilization for wide issue processors
- LEGO: the ILP research compiler developed by Tinker Research Group at N. C. State University

www.tinker.ncsu.edu



Tree Traversal Scheduling Algorithm

- Objective
 - Speedup each execution path in a treegion
 - If profile information is available, speedup up each path based on its execution frequency
- Common Scheduling techniques
 - List scheduling
 - Renaming
 - Speculative code motion

Speculation in a treegion

- Over-aggressive speculation
 - May cause the delay to non-speculative instructions due to the contention of machine resources



Sch_Time	ALU/BR	ALU/LD
Cycle n:	add r5,r6,1	add r7, r8, r6
Cycle n+1:	add r11, r8, r10	ld r9, r10,5
Cycle n+2:	br bb2, r5>100	

Average execution time: 4 cycles

- Over-conservative speculation
 - The operation latencies are not hidden enough. Less a problem for wide issue processors

Speculation in TTS

- Solve over-aggressive speculation by a cycle based scheduling with prioritizing the instructions according to:
 - (a) Execution frequency
 - (b) Exit count [Deitrich, et.al., MCIRO29] heuristic to resolve ties from (a), and
 - (c) Data dependence height to resolve ties from (b)
- Allow early schedule of branches even with downward code motion



Sch_Time	ALU/BR	ALU/LD
Cycle n:	add r5,r6,1	add r7, r8, r6
Cycle n+1:	br bb2, r5>100	add r11,r8,r10
Cycle n+2:	(ld r9, r10,5)	

Average execution time: 3 + 2*0.2 = 3.4 cycles

Basic block 2

TTS Algorithm

- Step 1. Construct the control/data dependence graph and perform instruction ordering.
- Step 2. Cycle scheduling of the instructions in a treegion
 - a. For each cycle, select the candidate operation according to the order of Step 1.
 - b. If machine resource is available for the candidate operation, check for whether the scheduling of the candidate is speculative.
 - c. For the speculative code motion, check whether the renaming is necessary to support the speculation.
 - d. If the candidate is a branch operation, downward code motion and multiway branch transformation may result.

Scheduling of Branches in TTS



Logic View of TTS

- 1. For a treegion, sort the basic blocks according to a depth-first traversal order with the child block selected with highest execution frequency.
- 2. Start list scheduling at the root basic block.
- 3. During the scheduling of a basic block, consider speculation for instructions dominated by this basic block.
- 4. After scheduling the block-ending branch, traverse to the next basic block and go back to 3.



•Traversal order: BB1, BB2, BB4, BB7, BB6, BB5, BB3

•High resource utilization from speculation of dominated instructions.

•Reducing resource contention: e.g., when scheduling BB4, the instructions in BB5 will not compete for the resources.

Incremental Data Flow Analysis in TTS

- Motivation
 - The data flow analysis (liveness, reaching definition) obsolete due to code motions in TTS.
 - Recalculation takes too much computation time
 - Solution: incremental update (not accurate but conservative)
- Data flow analysis based on different categories of renaming (based on the renaming scope)
 - Speculation without renaming
 - Speculation with local renaming
 - Speculation with renaming with a copy
 - Speculation with global renaming

Live(edge 1) = $\{...\}$ Live(edge 2) = $\{r2, r3, ...\}$

add r1, r2, r3 (*)



• Incremental update

- liveness is extended for the destination operand and added to each edge that the instruction traverse
- Conservative liveness may cause unnecessary renaming (most of them are simple to process)
- No changes in reaching definitions

NC STATE UNIVERSITY Data flow analysis for speculative code motion with local renaming Local renaming is used when the renaming scope is within the ٠ treegion add r1, r7, r8 add r1, r7, r8 current block current block add rk, r2, r3 edge 1 edge 2 edge 2 edge 1 Live(edge 2) $= \{r2, r3, ...\}$ Live(edge 2) \Rightarrow {r2, r3,...} r1 live r1 live add r1, r2, r3 (*) add r10, r1, 1 add r10, r1, 1 edge 4 r1 live edge 4 r1 live edge 3 r1 live edge 3 r1 live r1 not live r1 not live

add r3, r2, rk

r1 not live r1 not live

• Incremental update

r1 not live

add r3, r2, r1

- No change to liveness and reaching definitions

add r2, r1, r3

r1 not live

r1 not live

add r2, rk, r3

r1 not live

Data flow analysis for speculative code motion with renaming with a copy

• Renaming with a copy is used when the operand to be renamed is live outside the treegion and there is a 'merge' problem.



- Incremental update
 - No change in liveness and accurate update of reaching definition

NC STATE UNIVERSITY Data flow analysis for speculative code motion with

Global renaming Global renaming is used when the renaming scope is beyond the • treegion and there is no merge problem



- Update ullet
 - **Recalculate the liveness at procedural scope.** No changes in reaching definitions.

Data flow analysis for downward code motion

• Result from the early schedule of blockending branches



- Incremental update
 - For each downward moved instruction, add its source operands into liveness set and remove the its destination operand from liveness set.
 - Processed in reverse program order

VLIW/EPIC Processor Model Specification

	Specification
Execution	Dispatch/Issue/Retire bandwidth: 8;
	Universal function units: 8;
	Operation latency: ALU, ST, BR: 1 cycle; LD, floating-point (FP) add/subtract: 2 cycles; FP multiply/divide: 3 cycles
I-cache	Compressed (zero-nop) and two banks with 32KB each bank (Direct Mapped) [Conte et. Al, MICRO29].
	Line size: 16 operations with 4 bytes each operation.
	Miss latency: 12 cycles
D-cache	Size/Associativity/Replacement: 64KB/4-way/LRU
	Line size: 32 bytes
	Miss Penalty: 14 cycles
Branch Predictor:	G-share style Multi-way branch prediction [Menezes, et. al., PACT'97, Hoogerbrugge, PACT'00]
	Branch prediction table: 2 ¹⁴ entries;
	Branch target buffer: 2 ¹⁴ entries/8-way/LRU
	Branch misprediction penalty: 10 cycles

Speedup Results

• Speedup with ideal I-cache, D-cache, and branch predictor



Speedup Results

• Speedup with realistic I- & D- cache, Branch Predictor



D-Cache Performance





23

I-Cache Performance





Conclusion

- Significant speedup from tree traversal scheduling
- To fully take advantage of load speculation, the stall-onuse technique should be used in the in-order pipeline.
- Fewer multi-ops as a result of TTS results in fewer I-cache accesses while code expansion due to treegion formation usually introduces higher miss rates

Contact Information

Huiyang Zhou	<u>hzhou@eos.ncsu.edu</u>
Matt Jennings	MattJ@bops.com
Tom Conte	conte@eos.ncsu.edu



TINKER Research Group North Carolina State University www.tinker.ncsu.edu